

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
NORTHERN DIVISION

---

GEORGE BROWN,

Petitioner,

Case No. 2:11-CV-454

v.

HON. GORDON J. QUIST

JEFFREY WOODS,

Respondent.

---

**ORDER ADOPTING  
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

The court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation by the United States Magistrate Judge in this action, which was served on Petitioner on December 4, 2014. No objections have been filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Thus, the Court will adopt the Report and Recommendation.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), the Court must also determine whether a certificate of appealability should be granted. A certificate should issue if Petitioner has demonstrated a “substantial showing of a denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The Sixth Circuit has disapproved issuance of blanket denials of a certificate of appealability. *Murphy v. Ohio*, 263 F.3d 466, 467 (6th Cir. 2001). Rather, the district court must “engage in a reasoned assessment of each claim” to determine whether a certificate is warranted. *Id.* at 467. Each issue must be considered under the standards set forth by the Supreme Court in *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 120 S. Ct. 1595 (2000). *Murphy*, 263 F.3d at 467. Consequently, this Court has examined Petitioner’s claims under the *Slack* standard.

Under *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484, 120 S. Ct. at 1604, to warrant a grant of the certificate, “[t]he petitioner must demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district court’s assessment of the

constitutional claims debatable or wrong.” For the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that reasonable jurists could not find that this Court’s dismissal of Petitioner’s claim was debatable or wrong. Thus, the Court will deny Petitioner a certificate of appealability. Therefore,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, filed December 4, 2014, is approved and adopted as the opinion of the court.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Petitioner’s habeas corpus petition is **DENIED**.

A certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

This case is **concluded**.

Dated: January 6, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Gordon J. Quist  
GORDON J. QUIST  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE